City Of York Council	Extract of Draft Committee Minutes
Meeting	Local Plan Working
Date	29 January 2015
Present	Councillors Merrett (Chair), Ayre, Barnes, D'Agorne, Funnell, Healey, Horton, Orrell (Substitute), Simpson-Laing, Steward (Vice-Chair) and Warters

Apologies Councillor Reid

Declarations of Interest 17.

Members were asked to declare any personal interests not included on the Register of Interests, any prejudicial interests or any disclosable pecuniary interests which they may have in respect of business on the agenda.

Councillor D'Agorne declared a personal non prejudicial interest as a member of York Environment Forum.

Councillor Healey declared a personal non prejudicial interest as a member of York Environment Forum.

Councillor Merrett declared personal non prejudicial interest as a member of York Environment Forum.

18. **Minutes**

Resolved: That the minutes of the Local Plan Working Group held on 17 December 2014 be approved and signed by the Chair as a correct record, subject to the following amendment:

> Councillor Warters requested that his comment that 78% of overall growth in York's population during the period 2013 to 2037 is a result of net international migration be included in the minutes.

19. Public Participation

It was reported that there had been no registrations to speak under the Council's Public Participation Scheme.

There had been five registrations to speak on the agenda items as follows:

Mr Parish had registered to speak on behalf of Strensall Parish Council. He advised that he was in attendance to answer any questions and to thank Officers for their hard work on the Strensall and Towthorpe Village Design Statement documents. The Parish Council had raised the money to have the document published and the document attached to the agenda was a draft and a visually higher quality final document would be produced in due course. The Chair thanked Mr. Parish and those working on other design statements for their involvement.

Philip Crowe spoke on behalf of York Environment Forum in relation to safeguarded sites. He advised that community groups do not wish to see development on safeguarded sites and suggested that developers must include sufficient infrastructure within the allocations to deal with the adjoining safeguarded sites as need to ensure that the safeguarded sites are sustainable. He suggested that the Council may wish to consider Option 3 to revisit the proposed allocations and to impose higher densities and replace a number of safeguarded sites. He closed his submission by posing a question to Members asking does York want growth at any price?

Alan Charlesworth spoke to raise concerns, that in his view, a decision on safeguarded land was being made on incomplete information. He referred to the legal opinion sought in July 2014 by community groups and the fact that the opinion of that Counsel had been reiterated to Members in an open letter. He considered that Mr Hobson QC had advised on a narrow set of assumptions, with no assessment of need and had not been supplied with the specifics of safeguarding. He suggested that the Earswick site had been singled out for distinct treatment as the only safeguarded sites where concerns over access and sustainability had been raised, that it could be brought forward in years 1-15 of the Plan and was therefore a 'back-door' allocation. It was his contention that all safeguarded sites should be removed from draft Local Plan.

Tony Fisher spoke to advise that he was pleased that the Council was taking the time to re-draft and reconsider the Local Plan. He referred to community groups own consultations and recommended further sensitivity testing for housing need and the opinion on backlog and shortfall. He advised that groups were awaiting the new Communities and Local Government figures before making a challenge to the housing need figures. He called into question the robustness of the plan and asked the council to suspend drafting to allow for further work to be carried out. He advised that he was representing residents through the York Alliance who are willing to work with the council to ensure a proper draft.

Julian Sturdy MP had registered to speak on firstly the Village Design Statements. He commended the volunteers that had worked on the VDS in Strensall and Towthorpe and in Wheldrake but also for the work on the Neighbourhood Plans that are also coming forward. He referred to the safeguarded land issue and the impact safeguarded land has on rural communities. He considered that the wording is confusing and it should be named 'reserved land' but that this was an issues for Government to resolve. He referred to discussions in Parliament and that Ministers have reiterated that there is nothing in government planning policy that would require planning past 15 years. He felt that there was no willingness in York to protect the rural setting. He asked Members to re-think the issue of safeguarded land.

20. Wheldrake Village Design Statement/Supplementary Planning Document

Members considered a report which presented a summary of the responses received following a consultation on Wheldrake Village Design Statement (VDS). A number of amendments were proposed as a result of the consultation. Subject to Members' views, it was intended that the amended document became draft Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) to the emerging Local Plan. The document would thus be a material planning consideration when considering applications for development within the designated Village Design Statement area.

Officers outlined both of the reports for the Wheldrake and the Strensall and Towthorpe VDS and advised that both draft VDS went to consultation in summer 2014. Responses to the consultation were outlined in annex B of the report. As a result of the consultation a number of amendments had now been made and the final VDS were being presented to Members.

Officers asked Members to note that the whist the textual element of the documents was complete and being presented for Members consideration, the visual design of the documents would be completed at a later stage. Officers also wished to record thanks to the groups who had worked in conjunction with the Council to produce the documents.

Members noted the work ongoing in the city on VDS but also on Neighbourhood Plan documents and welcomed such work. Some Members queried the weight which can be afforded to these documents when they are used at Planning Committees. Officers confirmed the plans are material planning considerations and should be considered accordingly.

The Chair also thanked the groups involved in producing the document.

Recommended: That, in accordance with Option 1, Cabinet be recommended to:

- (i) Approve Wheldrake Village Design Statement, as attached at Annex A of the report, as a draft Supplementary Planning Document to the emerging Local Plan.
- (ii) Delegate to the Director of City of Environmental Services in consultation with the Cabinet Member, the making of any incidental changes to the Village Design Statement as a result of the recommendations of Cabinet.
- (iii) Delegate to the Village Design Statement group and officer the final graphic design.

Reasons: (i) Wheldrake Village Design Statement follows in

the footsteps of other previous examples that have been agreed; observing the general guidance and principles required in their production, whilst successfully defining the individual qualities of the villages and bringing forward appropriate Design Guidelines.

- (ii) So that changes recommended as a result of discussions at this meeting can be made, in liaison with the Village Design Statement group.
- (iii) To allow changes to the final graphics/layout as required e.g. improved photo quality, or number of pages to meet print specifications.

21. Strensall with Towthorpe Village Design Statement/Supplementary Planning Document

Members considered a report which presented a summary of the responses received following a consultation on Strensall with Towthorpe Village Design Statement (VDS). A number of amendments were proposed as a result of the consultation. Subject to Members' views, it was intended that the amended document became draft Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) to the emerging Local Plan. The document would thus be a material planning consideration when considering applications for development within the designated Village Design Statement area.

Recommended: That, in accordance with Option 1, Cabinet be recommended to:

- (i) Approve Strensall with Towthorpe Village Design Statement, as attached at Annex A of the report, as a draft Supplementary Planning Document to the emerging Local Plan.
- (ii) Delegate to the Director of City and Environmental Services in consultation with the Cabinet Member the making of any incidental changes to the Village Design

Statement as a result of the recommendations of Cabinet.

(iii) Delegate to the Village Design Statement group and officer the final graphic design.

Reasons:

- (i) Strensall with Towthorpe Village Design Statement follows in the footsteps of other previous examples that have been agreed; observing the general guidance and principles required in their production, whilst successfully defining the individual qualities of the villages and bringing forward appropriate Design Guidelines.
- (ii) So that changes recommended as a result of discussions at this meeting can be made, in liaison with the Village Design Statement group.
- (iii) To allow changes to the final graphics/layout as required e.g. improved photo quality, or number of pages to meet print specifications.

Cllr D, Merrett Chair [The Meeting Started At 5.00 pm And Finished At 7.25 pm].